

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,165	04/09/2001	Junichi Ohgo	Q63951	6557
7590 05/09/2007 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D	
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/828,165	OHGO, JUNICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mailing part of the maximum statutory. Set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	<u>March 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		,			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in App	lication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	riority documents have been re	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not re-	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date mal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 09/828,165 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 28, 2007 has been entered.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed September 5, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the data entered indicating an operation desired by a user must be taught by the secondary reference, Gerszberg (applicant's remarks, pages 6-7).

In response, each limitation regarding the data that applicant asserts is not taught by Gerszberg (see applicant's remarks regarding 5 enumerated claimed features, page 6 last paragraph through page 7) is in fact taught by the primary reference, Dureau, as described below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/828,165 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dureau et al. (6,118,472) [Dureau] in view of Gerszberg et al. (US 2002/0012353 A1).

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Dureau discloses a method and system for using the Internet comprising:

Using a telephone line to send data indicating an operation desired by a user and sending the data to a provider's server (col. 4, lines 29-40, wherein the provider's server is service provider 13 shown in fig. 1);

Said provider's server (13) receives the data from said telephone line to carry out the operation desired by the user based on the data (via port 68, col. 4, lines 29-40), said provider's server generates display data showing the result of carrying out the operation (the display data is retrieved Internet data, col. 4, lines 41-50) and sends the display data to a broadcasting station (broadcasting station is broadcast center 12 shown in fig. 1, which receives the Internet data for broadcast, col. 3, lines 51-60 and col. 4, lines 41-50);

Said broadcasting station (12) which receives the display data from said provider's server (via port 74 of gateway 70 in fig. 1, col. 4, lines 41-45) and radio-transmits the display data (via satellite transmitter 30 in fig. 1);

Application/Control Number: 09/828,165

Art Unit: 2623

A television set (fig. 1, TV 50) with radio-receives the display data from said broadcasting station (13), said television set displays the result of carrying out the operation based on the display data (col. 4, lines 48-50); and

wherein the data is sent to the provider's server via a telephone station (col. 4, lines 29-40, wherein the return channel 57 comprises telephone lines).

Dureau fails to disclose entering the data using a telephone.

In an analogous art, Gerszberg teaches incorporating telephone functionality into a remote control (see fig. 17), for the benefit of enhancing a user's remote control to include telephone functionality (paragraph 0086).

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system of Dureau to incorporate telephone functionality into the remote control 55, as taught by Gerszberg, for the benefit of enhancing said remote control to include telephone functionality, wherein when remote control 55 disclosed by Dureau is a telephone, request data entered using said remote is entered using a telephone.

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Dureau and Gerszberg disclose the method and system of claims 1 and 6, wherein the display data are radio-transmitted/received via a broadcasting satellite (Dureau, fig. 1, satellite 35).

Regarding claims 11 and 13, Dureau and Gerszberg disclose the method and system of claims 1 and 6, wherein the telephone sends the data to a

Application/Control Number: 09/828,165 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

telephone station (Dureau, service provider 13 is connected to return channel 57, which is a telephone line, col. 4, lines 29-40, thus is a telephone station), the telephone station connects the telephone to the Internet (Dureau, fig. 1, Internet 65), and wherein the provider's server (13) accesses the internet to retrieve the data (Dureau, col. 4, lines 41-50).

5. Claims 2, 3, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dureau and Gerszberg as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Shimomura et al. (6,526,580) [Shimomura].

Regarding claims 2 and 7, Dureau and Gerszberg disclose the method and system of claim 1 and 6, but fail to disclose the data is entered and sent by the user using an Internet mail function of said telephone.

In an analogous art, Shimomura teaches using an Internet mail function to send data from a wireless device (SMS messages are mail messages being sent to an Internet server for the purpose of interacting with the Internet, col. 14, lines 40-56), for the benefit of providing an Internet back channel that is incorporated as part of an existing cellular telephone infrastructure.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system of Dureau and Gerszberg to include using an Internet mail function to send data from the wireless device, as taught by Shimomura, for the benefit of providing an Internet back channel that is incorporated as part of the existing cellular telephone infrastructure.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Dureau, Gerszberg, and Shimomura disclose the method and system of claims 2 and 7, wherein said telephone is a mobile phone (as shown in fig. 17 of Gerzberg, the phone/remote device is a mobile device).

6. Claims 4, 9, 12, and 14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dureau and Gerszberg as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Majeti et al. (5,534,913) [Majeti].

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Dureau and Gerszberg disclose the method and system of claims 1 and 6, but fail to disclose said provider's server adds an identification code to the display data, and said television set selects the display data based on the identification code.

In an analogous art, Majeti teaches addressing data to particular users (col. 4, lines 26-45) wherein the data is broadcast to many users over a common broadcast channel (col. 4, lines 7-25), thus the receiver equipment must select received data for display based on the address information included in the broadcast, for the benefit of selectively targeting data to different users over a broadcast distribution network.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system disclosed by Dureau and Gerszberg to include adding an identification code to the display data, and selecting the

Application/Control Number: 09/828,165

Art Unit: 2623

display data based on the identification code, as taught by Majeti, for the benefit of selectively targeting data to different users for exclusive reception over a broadcast distribution network.

Regarding claims 12 and 14, Dureau and Gerszberg disclose the method and system of claims 1 and 6, but fail to disclose the telephone comprises a first identification code and the television set comprises a second identification code, the first identification code and the second identification code being the same, and wherein the first identification code is registered with the provider's server.

In an analogous ad, Majeti teaches addressing data to particular users (col. 4, lines 26-45) wherein the data is broadcast to many users over a common broadcast channel (col. 4, lines 7-25), for the benefit of selectively targeting data to different users over a broadcast distribution network.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system of Dureau and Gerszberg to include addressing data to particular users, as taught by Majeti, for the benefit of selectively targeting data to many different users simultaneously over a broadcast distribution network. The addressing information is the identification code associated with the telephone and the television set, as the telephone includes the code when making a request to identify the requester and the television set includes the code in order to filter out that information addressed to

the user. The address information is registered with the server, as it is the server which is responsible for receiving and fulfilling requests from users.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANDREW Y. KOENIG PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

DS